

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,755	02/10/2006	Thomas Ficker	017P0078WOUS	5362
30008 GUDRUN E. 1	7590 12/16/2011 HUCKETT DRAUDT	EXAMINER		
SCHUBERTSTR. 15A			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
WUPPERTAL GERMANY	., 42289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,755	FICKER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RICK CHANG	3726	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Sta	tus

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2011.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) Claim(s) 11-24 is/are pending in the application.
 - 5a) Of the above claim(s) 13-15 and 18-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 7) Claim(s) 11.12 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 8) Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.
- 9) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
 - application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Minimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/So/us)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 22 September 2011.
- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patert Application
- 6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/567,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-12 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
applicant regards as the invention.

There are numerous phrases and clauses in the claims that are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded, and therefore, are not fully understood. The following are examples:

Is "and/or" referring to one, other or both?

Claim 11, line 3: is "a tubular starting material" referring to "tubular starting matieral" in line 2 or something else?

Claim 11, line 4: is "an axial length of a profiled ring" referring to "profiled rings" in line 2 or something else?

Claim 11, line 6: is "at least one ring profile" referring to "profiled rings" in line 2 or something else?

Claims are ambiguous and competitors would be unable to discern the bounds of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: Application/Control Number: 10/567,755 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 11 and 17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Tarrant (US 4,126,064).

Re claim 11: Tarrant disclose clamping in a clamping position on a spindle a tubular

starting material having an axial length greater than an axial length of a profiled ring to be

manufactured;

roll forming in said clamping position an end section of the tubular starting material to

shape at least one ring profile;

performing in said clamping position prior to, parallel to, or after the roll forming process

machine-cutting on the end section or on the at least one ring profile;

generating during the roll forming process of a tubular starting material an axial

counterforce at an end face of the end section of the tubular starting material relative to a flow

direction of the tubular starting material by an axially arranged counterpressure tool so that a

material flow in at least one of an axial direction and a radial direction of the tubular starting

material is controlled such that flowing material is integrated into the ring profile;

cutting off in said clamping position the at least one rinq profile from the tubular staring

material as a completely grinding-ready profiled ring (see Figs. 2-8).

Re claim 17: see Fig. 1 of Tarrant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 12, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarrant (US 4.126.064).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art that Tarrant discloses all the claimed limitations to provide profiled rings, these rings can be used as a rolling bearing ring or a transmission ring in order to manufacture bearings or transmission devices.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Tarrant to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Interviews After Final

9. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is

Application/Control Number: 10/567,755

Art Unit: 3726

convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations</u> will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 10. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3726

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K, Chang/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC:

December 14, 2011